

AD \_\_\_\_\_

GRANT NUMBER DAMD17-94-J-4329

TITLE: EGF Receptor Mabs and Chemotherapy in Breast Cancer

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Larry Norton, M.D.  
Andrew D. Seidman, M.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center  
New York, New York 10021

REPORT DATE: October 1996

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: Commander  
U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command  
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for public release;  
distribution unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

19970812 055

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 4

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE October 1996	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Annual (15 Sep 94 - 14 Sep 96)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE EGF Receptor Mabs and Chemotherapy in Breast Cancer			5. FUNDING NUMBERS DAMD17-94-J-4329	
6. AUTHOR(S)  Norton, Larry, M.D. Seidman, Andrew D., M.D.				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)  Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center New York, New York 10021			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)  U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012			10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT  Approved for public release; distribution unlimited			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) To establish a safety profile in patients with Stage IV, EGFR positive breast cancer, for which no curative standard exists, of C225 over a range of dose levels and to pharmacologically optimize the dosing regimen of loading and maintenance infusions administered during combination chemotherapy with weekly doses of paclitaxel.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS Breast Cancer, Paclitaxel, Chemotherapy			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 12	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Unlimited	

## FOREWORD

Opinions, interpretations, conclusions and recommendations are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the U.S. Army.

Where copyrighted material is quoted, permission has been obtained to use such material.

Where material from documents designated for limited distribution is quoted, permission has been obtained to use the material.

Citations of commercial organizations and trade names in this report do not constitute an official Department of Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations.

In conducting research using animals, the investigator(s) adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Resources, National Research Council (NIH Publication No. 86-23, Revised 1985).

For the protection of human subjects, the investigator(s) adhered to policies of applicable Federal Law 45 CFR 46.

In conducting research utilizing recombinant DNA technology, the investigator(s) adhered to current guidelines promulgated by the National Institutes of Health.

In the conduct of research utilizing recombinant DNA, the investigator(s) adhered to the NIH Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules.

In the conduct of research involving hazardous organisms, the investigator(s) adhered to the CDC-NIH Guide for Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories.



Andrew D. Seidman, M.D.

PI - Signature

Date

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

COVER . . . . .	i
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE . . . . .	ii
FOREWORD . . . . .	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS . . . . .	1
TECHNICAL OBJECTIVES . . . . .	2
EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH . . . . .	2
PROGRESS TO DATE . . . . .	2
REFERENCES . . . . .	4
TABLE . . . . .	5
APPENDIX . . . . .	6

## **EGF Receptor Monoclonal Antibodies and Chemotherapy in Breast Cancer Therapy**

### Technical Objectives

This project is based on the bioactivity of monoclonal antibodies (Mabs) against the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) that we have produced (1). The human:mouse chimeric version of Mab 225 (HC Mab 225) has been produced by ImClone Systems and has been made available to us in quantities adequate for a series of clinical trials. These Mabs inhibit the growth of tumors expressing the receptor and synergize with either doxorubicin or paclitaxel against well-established tumor xenografts (2-5). Preliminary clinical trials with murine anti-EGFR Mabs conducted by our group have shown that their administration is safe and that plasma levels of Mab sufficient to saturate receptors can be achieved (6,7). This project is to conduct a series of clinical trials to determine the safety, feasibility, and noncomparative efficacy of chemotherapy plus Mab in the treatment of patients with metastatic breast cancer who have not received extensive prior chemotherapy for their advanced disease. A parallel series of investigations in animal models (J. Mendelsohn, Principal Investigator) is being conducted to define other promising drugs, doses, and schedules, and to study mechanisms of action in these systems.

### Experimental Approach

We proposed to conduct two phase I/II studies in patients with metastatic breast carcinoma with tumors that express high levels of EGFR. The first study was to be the combination of doxorubicin and anti-EGFR MABs. However, after thorough review of the preclinical data, we elected to first proceed with the study of paclitaxel and anti-EGFR Mabs. This decision was also based on considerations of patient availability, since doxorubicin is now widely used in the adjuvant setting. In the conduct of our trial we have carefully monitored for safety, pharmacokinetics, and clinical response. Although we still plan to biopsy accessible tumors before and after exposure to therapy to evaluate for EGFR regulation, phosphorylation, and for apoptosis, issues of toxicity, response, and optimal schedule need to be successfully addressed prior to initiating that phase of the investigation. Skin biopsies have been obtained in three patients to assess the histology of toxic reactions.

### Progress To Date

The construction of a feasible phase I/II trial required the determination of the safety and pharmacokinetics of multiple administrations of the drug HC Mab 225. We therefore performed an open-label dose-escalation study of four weekly infusion at the dose levels of 5 (one patient completing twelve weeks of therapy), 20 (two patients), 50 (one patient), and 100 mg/m<sup>2</sup> (three patients) per week in patients with histologically documented advanced tumors over-expressing EGFR by immunohistochemistry. (A total of twelve patients were enrolled at MSKCC, with five comparable patients accrued at other centers). The median age of our patients was 60 years, and

several tumor types were represented, including breast cancer. Only one patient experienced grade 3 toxicity, an episode of "aseptic meningitis" perhaps unrelated to drug administration. There was one grade 2 allergic reaction. All other toxicities were grade 1. These included: acneiform rash (3 episodes), fatigue (2), hot flashes (1), anorexia (1), chills (1), flu-like symptoms (1), thrombocytopenia (1), stomatitis (1), elevations of alkaline phosphatase (1), and creatinine (1). Pharmacokinetic values were assessed by the BIAcore (surface plasmon resonance) assay on serum samples drawn at 1/24, 3/24, 6/24, 1, 2, 5, 8, 15, 22, 26, and 28 days post infusion. We sought to obtain a serum level of at least 20 nM, since preclinical evidence suggested that this level would be sufficient to occupy a high proportion of receptors in target tissues. (The notion of "saturation of receptors" does not apply since EGFR is widely distributed in normal organs). When 50 mg/m<sup>2</sup> was given, the mean concentration of drug was greater than 20 nM for more than one day. At 100 mg/m<sup>2</sup> the mean concentration of drug was greater than 20 nM for more than a week, allowing for drug accumulation. Saturation of clearance was not seen. Hence we became confident that a trial employing weekly administrations of 100 mg/m<sup>2</sup> doses of drug would be adequate to elicit the desired biological effects.

Our phase I/II trial of the combination of HC Mab 225 and paclitaxel was designed to accrue patients with histologically documented metastatic breast cancer, regardless of immunophenotypic expression of EGFR. A copy of the clinical protocol is appended. To be eligible for study, a patient must have bidimensionally measurable disease, normal hematologic and organ function, a Karnofsky Performance Score of greater than 50%, no prior taxane (paclitaxel or docetaxel), and not more than one prior chemotherapy regimen for metastatic disease. The study was designed to accrue three patients each at the following initial and subsequent doses in mg/m<sup>2</sup> of HC Mab 225: 50/50, 100/100, 200/100, 400/100. Later doses were to be specified on the basis of the pharmacokinetic analysis of these cases. Paclitaxel was to be given at the conventional dose of 175 mg/m<sup>2</sup> as a three hour infusion each three weeks, with standard premedications (dexamethasone, diphenhydramine, cimetidine).

Since March 5, 1996, we have now treated nine patients, as shown in Table 1. The major findings have been a significant occurrence of moderate to severe skin toxicity: an erythematous follicular eruption of the face, trunk, and upper extremities of grade 2-3 in 4/8 evaluable patients. Several photographs of these reactions are appended within. Biopsy of these lesions has demonstrated superficial folliculitis, with adjacent edema and mixed neutrophil and eosinophil, or pure neutrophil-rich inflammatory cell infiltrate with scattered histiocytes. Immunohistochemistry for EGFR in these skin biopsies revealed normal expression within keratinocytes. Of eight patients evaluable for response, two have shown minor tumor regression, but one of these had to discontinue treatment because of dermatologic toxicity.

These data indicate synergistic biologic activity between HC Mab 225 and paclitaxel, but in the skin. We cannot yet assess if this synergy extends to the tumor, because the toxicity observed has precluded adequate evaluation, both in terms of number of patients accrued and duration of follow-up. However, no early indications of synergistic benefit have been observed. Because of these results, we are in the process of modifying the clinical protocol. Based on the hypothesis that the peak serum level of paclitaxel may contribute to the toxicity, we will reassess the Mab given weekly with a schedule of paclitaxel weekly at 80 mg/m<sup>2</sup> as a one hour infusion (with standard premedications). In patients with ovarian carcinoma we have determined that this dose and schedule of paclitaxel is safe and effective, giving a response rate of about 30% in patients whose tumors have

previously demonstrated resistance to standard doses and schedules (8). We have initiated a trial of weekly one-hour paclitaxel (alone, without HC Mab 225) in patients with stage IV breast cancer, and so far have observed responses in 40% of cases. Hence, we will be combining HC Mab with an active regimen of paclitaxel but with one that achieves lower peak plasma levels because of the lower total dose per administration. Should this regimen fail to avoid unacceptable toxicity, we may combine HC Mab 225 with another schedule of paclitaxel, the 96-hour continuous infusion at 35 mg/m<sup>2</sup>/24h (repeated every three weeks). We have published that this dose and schedule produces responses in 27% of patients with breast cancer refractory to shorter taxane infusions, at peak plasma concentrations that are lower than achieved with bolus administration (9). Should that fail to avoid unacceptable toxicity, we plan to declare that the combination of paclitaxel with HC Mab is not feasible in humans, and initiate the study of the combination of doxorubicin and HC Mab 225 as outlined in the grant proposal.

1. Mendelsohn J. Potential clinical applications of anti-EGF receptor monoclonal antibodies. Edited by M. Furth and M. Greaves, In: *The Molecular Diagnostics of Human Cancer*, Cold Spring Harbor, New York, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory. Cancer Cells 7:359-362, 1989.
2. Masui H, Kawamoto T, Sato JD, et al. Growth inhibition of human tumor cells in athymic mice by anti-EGF receptor monoclonal antibodies. *Cancer Res* 44:1002-1007, 1984.
3. Baselga J, Norton L, Masui H, et al. Anti-tumor effects of doxorubicin in combination with anti-epidermal growth factor receptor monoclonal antibodies. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 85:1327-1333, 1993.
4. Masui H, Moryama T, Mendelsohn J. Mechanism of antitumor activity in mice for anti-EGF receptor monoclonal antibodies with different isotypes. *Cancer Res* 46:5592-5598, 1986.
5. Baselga J, Miller W, Norton L, Mendelsohn J. Modulation of epidermal growth factor receptor alpha pathway by adriamycin. *Proc AACR* 33:2947, 1992.
6. Divgi CR, Welt C, Kris M, et al. Phase I and imaging trial of indium-111 labeled anti-EGFR receptor monoclonal antibody 225 in patients with squamous cell lung carcinoma. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 83:97-104, 1991.
7. Baselga J, Scott A, Pfister D, et al. Comparative pharmacology in phase I and imaging trials utilizing anti-epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) monoclonal antibodies (Mabs) labelled with <sup>131</sup>I or <sup>111</sup>In. *Proc ASCO* 12:368, 1993.
8. Fennelly D, Shapiro F, Spriggs D, et al. Phase I and pharmacologic study of paclitaxel administered weekly in patients with relapsed ovarian cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 15:187-192, 1997.
9. Seidman AD, Hochhauser D, Gollub M, et al. Ninety-six hour paclitaxel infusion during short taxane exposure: A phase II pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic study in metastatic breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 14:1877-1884, 1996.

Table 1. Results of HC Mab 225 plus Paclitaxel in Stage IV Breast Cancer

#	EGFR	Dose	Response	Off-Study	Skin Toxicity (worst grade)
--	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
1	(+)	50/50	MR	PD 3 cycles	0
2	(+)	50/50	MR	SD 3 cycles	2
3	(+)	50/50	PD	PD 3 cycles	1
4	(-)	100/100	PD	PD 1 cycle	0
5	(+)	100/100	PD	PD during 1	2*
6	(-)	100/100	PD	PD after 1	3*
7	(-)	100/100	SD	PD after 1	1
8	(-)	100/100	SD	TOX	3*
9	(+)	100/100	SD	On Study	1

SD = Stable Disease

MR = Minor Reponse

PD = Progressive Disease

\* = Skin Biopsy Obtained



APPENDIX







